

Modeling Referential Coordination as a Particle Swarm Optimization Task

H. Chase Stevens
University of Edinburgh
3 Charles Street
Edinburgh, UK
chase@chasestevens.com

Hannah Rohde
University of Edinburgh
3 Charles Street
Edinburgh, UK
hannah.rohde@ed.ac.uk

Abstract

We take a novel approach to modeling the influence of production cost on referential coordination by employing particle swarm optimization (PSO), a general-purpose optimization method. The PSO-based model replicates behaviors observed in previous research (Rohde et al., 2012; Brennan & Clark, 1996), indicating that referential coordination can be framed as a constrained optimization problem in which agents may need only to maintain a simplified representation of the common ground.

1 Introduction

The question of how referential choice and interpretation are influenced by production cost remains unresolved in the literature. When producing referring expressions under potentially ambiguous conditions, speakers must weigh the cost of producing an expression against the ease with which their conversational partners will be able to infer the intended referent. Recent research (Rohde et al., 2012; Degen & Franke, 2012; Frank & Goodman, 2012) investigates the conditions under which speakers coordinate the use of ambiguous expressions through the use of language games; in Rohde et al., an iterated language game was introduced which targeted dyadic referential coordination over multiple turns. A study conducted using this game showed that the participants' ability to successfully coordinate the use of less costly ambiguous forms was sensitive to the relative cost of competing unambiguous forms.

We build a computational model in order to simulate the findings of said study and, in doing so, to better understand the relationship between form costs and referential coordination. PSO, a swarm-based, general-purpose global optimization method, was chosen for this simulation as it allowed for a natural representation of agents' interactions over time as they sought to jointly optimize their performance in the language game. Our PSO model is capable both of replicating the coordination behaviors observed in human participants and of extrapolating beyond the conditions investigated in the Rohde et al. study.

2 Methods

PSO represents potential solutions for maximizing an objective function as particle positions existing within a multidimensional space. Over a number of iterations, increasingly suitable solutions are found as particles explore this space, their paths influenced by the thus far best-found solutions (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995).

To adapt PSO to the Rohde et al. language game, we model agents' strategies as sets of probabilities, yielding a search space in which each dimension represents the preference of an agent to use an ambiguous referring expression for some referent instead of an unambiguous expression of differing production cost. In this way, a pair of particles can represent two interlocutors with two individual referential strategies, the fitness of which can be evaluated with regards to the production costs and successful communication rewards imposed by the lan-

guage game in conjunction with the likelihood of successful communication as dictated by the partner's referential strategy.

Whether two agents ultimately succeed in coordinating (and on which referring expressions) is, under this approach, a function of whether the agents' strategies after the final PSO iteration are consistent and compatible with each other. To best replicate the influences of form cost on coordination as observed in Rohde et al., we optimize the parameters of the PSO algorithm, which specify the movement of particles, to the study.

3 Results and discussion

Our PSO-based model, parameterized using optimized values, is able to successfully capture the relative effects of varying form costs on referential coordination rate observed by Rohde et al.. Additionally, when agents within the PSO simulation are forced to entrain on the use of a high-cost unambiguous form, then subsequently, due to a change in discourse context, are permitted the use of a less costly, previously ambiguous form, they continue to make use of the form on which they have entrained; in doing so, agents in our model capture the overinformativity behavior noted in Brennan and Clark.

In extrapolating beyond the conditions of the Rohde et al. study, our PSO model predicts the likelihood of coordination on the ambiguous form to increase in response to both higher successful communication reward and higher ambiguous form cost. The former prediction is in keeping with the conclusions of Rohde et al.; the latter follows given that higher ambiguous form costs, in exceeding the costs of competing unambiguous forms, reduce the number of referents for which using the ambiguous form is beneficial and, in this way, reduce the number of competing viable referential strategies.

Agents within the PSO model do not maintain explicit representation of the common ground or of their communicative partners. Instead, agents consist only of a position within the search space of referential strategies and a velocity through that space. We interpret the

model's success in replicating human referential coordination to be in keeping with more egocentric models of communication (Horton & Keysar, 1996) than audience design views, especially given that agents maintain no explicit model of their communicative partners or of the common ground.

4 Conclusion

Our PSO-based modeling technique captures and extrapolates beyond experimentally observed behavior, enabling exploration of the influence of form costs on referential coordination and referring expression production.

Further, our application of PSO to modeling referential coordination demonstrates not only that referential coordination in humans can be explained in terms of a generalized optimization process, but also suggests a lower bound for how complex agents need to be in order to respond to form costs in a manner similar to humans.

References

- Brennan, S. E., & Clark, H. H. (1996). Conceptual pacts and lexical choice in conversation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 22(6), 1482.
- Degen, J., & Franke, M. (2012). Optimal reasoning about referential expressions. *Proceedings of SemDIAL*.
- Frank, M. C., & Goodman, N. D. (2012). Predicting pragmatic reasoning in language games. *Science*, 336(6084), 998-998.
- Horton, W. S., & Keysar, B. (1996). When do speakers take into account common ground? *Cognition*, 59(1), 91-117.
- Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. In *IEEE international conference on neural networks, 1995. Proceedings*. (Vol. 4, p. 1942-1948). doi: 10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968
- Rohde, H., et al. (2012). Communicating with cost-based implicature: A game-theoretic approach to ambiguity. In *The 16th workshop on the semantics and pragmatics of dialogue*. Paris.